

Rater Assessment

- 4x Major Performance Areas (MPA)
 - Performance Statements (2-3 per MPA)
 - Encouraged to refer to ALQs when writing Performance Statements
 - Search “ALQ Writing Guide” on myFSS for writing examples
 - Up to 350 characters per MPA block completed by Rater

Rater Mandatory Comments Module (if applicable)

- Block displayed on Brief **only** if Ratee meets specific criteria required by law/policy (e.g., Housing Oversight, Voting Assistance Officer)
- If criteria not met, block **will not** be displayed on Brief; only the 4x MPA blocks will be visible in the Rater Assessment section
- Up to 350 characters completed by Rater

Higher Level Reviewer (HLR) Assessment

- Stratification – Top 25% of promotion eligible MSgts and SMSgts may receive a stratification (Policy Change)
- Indicates concurrence/non-concurrence with Rater's Assessment
- HLR Performance Statement (No Policy Change)
 - Narrative-style statement(s), overall assessment
 - Up to 250 characters completed by HLR
- When Rater is also the HLR, Rater/HLR completes both the Rater and HLR Assessment sections

A

SMSgt DOE, MATTHEW A.
(123456789)

SYSTEM GENERATED PAGE X / Y

ENLISTED PERFORMANCE BRIEF (E7-E8)

B	DUTY TITLE	B	DAFSC	C	REASON	C	PERIOD	THRU
		C	DAYS SUPERVISED		DAYS NON-RATED		F	RATEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND				D	LOCATION			
E	DUTY DESCRIPTION							
RATER ASSESSMENT								
EXECUTING THE MISSION		EFFECTIVELY USES KNOWLEDGE, INITIATIVE, AND ADAPTABILITY TO PRODUCE TIMELY, HIGH QUALITY/QUANTITY RESULTS TO POSITIVELY IMPACT THE MISSION						
LEADING PEOPLE		FOSTERS COHESIVE TEAMS, EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATES, AND USES EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE TO TAKE CARE OF PEOPLE AND ACCOMPLISH THE MISSION						
MANAGING RESOURCES		MANAGES ASSIGNED RESOURCES EFFECTIVELY AND TAKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTIONS/BEHAVIORS TO MAXIMIZE ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE						
IMPROVING THE UNIT		DEMONSTRATES CRITICAL THINKING AND FOSTERS INNOVATION TO FIND CREATIVE SOLUTIONS AND IMPROVE MISSION EXECUTION						
MANDATORY COMMENTS (HOUSING/VOTING)								
RATER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE						RATER SIGNATURE		
RATER DUTY TITLE				RATER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND				
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ASSESSMENT			STRATIFICATION			FORCED ENDORSEMENT	RATER ASSESSMENT	
FUTURE ROLES								
1.			2.			3.		
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE						HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER SIGNATURE		
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER DUTY TITLE				HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND				

System Generated EPB, 20230307, V1

PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION: The information in this form is CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION. Protect IAW the Privacy Act of 1974.

Enlisted Performance Brief (AF Total Force – MSgt-SMSgt)

Policy Overview – Ratee Information

A

Grade, Name, & DoDID – reflects the actual grade the Ratee will hold as of the established SCOD; in the case of selects, use the selected grade [e.g., CMSgt (S)]; name will be in all uppercase and reflect Last Name, First Name, Middle Initial, and Suffix (if applicable); full DoDID number

B

Duty Title & DAFSC – reflects the approved Duty Title and DAFSC as of the SCOD or, in the event of PCS or PCA, then it will reflect as of the accounting date; 365-day extended deployments will use the deployed billet’s Duty Title and TDY DAFSC

C

Reason, Period of Report, Days Supervised, & Days Non-Rated – reflects the reason for the report, period of report, days the Ratee was supervised by the Rater during the reporting period, and days non-rated (if applicable)

D

Organization/Command & Location – reflects an accurate description of where and to whom the Ratee belongs as of the SCOD or, in the event of PCS or PCA, then it will reflect as of the accounting date; 365-day extended deployments will use home station unit “with duty at...”

E

Duty Description – reflects information about the position the Ratee held in the unit and the nature or level of job responsibilities as of the SCOD or, in the event of PCS or PCA, information as of the accounting date; written in narrative format; 365-day extended deployments will use the TDY duty description

F

Ratee Acknowledgement – reflects the Ratee’s acknowledgement of the evaluation and review of personal information on the evaluation

System Generated EPB, 20230307, V1

PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION: The information in this form is CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION. Protect IAW the Privacy Act of 1974.

- _____

AF SNCO EPB /// DRAFT/NOTIONAL DOCUMENT /// MSgt - SMSGT

Mandatory Comments – reflects on the output only if Ratee meets specific criteria required by law/policy; if criteria is not met, block will not display on the evaluation output; written in narrative format; up to 350 characters.

System Generated EPB, 20230307, V1

- A Stratification** – Top 25% of promotion eligible MSgts and SMSgts can receive a stratification from the Higher Level Reviewer
- B Forced Endorsement** – when the Higher Level Reviewer is also the Rater, Forced Endorsement will reflect as “yes”
- C Rater Assessment** – reflects the Higher Level Reviewer’s concurrence or non-concurrence with the Rater’s assessment
- D Future Roles** – Higher Level Reviewer will recommend up to 3 roles that best serve the Air Force and continues the Ratee’s development
- E HLR Performance Statement(s)** – reflects an overall assessment; comments are mandatory and written using Performance Statements; may use “THIS SECTION NOT USED” as a mandatory Performance Statement; up to 250 characters
- F HLR Identification Data** – reflects the Higher Level Reviewer’s Name, Grade, Branch of Service, Duty Title, and Organization and Command; data should reflect as of the close-out date; for HLRs assigned after the close-out date, enter information as of the date signed
- G HLR Signature** – reflects the Higher Level Reviewer’s signature on the evaluation; the evaluation has a digital signature capability

SMSgt DOE, MATTHEW A.
(123456789)

SYSTEM GENERATED PAGE X / Y

ENLISTED PERFORMANCE BRIEF (E7-E8)

DUTY TITLE	DAFSC	REASON	PERIOD
	DAYS SUPERVISED	DAYS NON-RATED	THRU RATEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND		LOCATION	
DUTY DESCRIPTION			
RATER ASSESSMENT			
EXECUTING THE MISSION	EFFECTIVELY USES KNOWLEDGE, INITIATIVE, AND ADAPTABILITY TO PRODUCE TIMELY, HIGH QUALITY/QUANTITY RESULTS TO POSITIVELY IMPACT THE MISSION		
LEADING PEOPLE	FOSTERS COHESIVE TEAMS, EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATES, AND USES EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE TO TAKE CARE OF PEOPLE AND ACCOMPLISH THE MISSION		
MANAGING RESOURCES	MANAGES ASSIGNED RESOURCES EFFECTIVELY AND TAKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTIONS/BEHAVIORS TO MAXIMIZE ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE		
IMPROVING THE UNIT	DEMONSTRATES CRITICAL THINKING AND FOSTERS INNOVATION TO FIND CREATIVE SOLUTIONS AND IMPROVE MISSION EXECUTION		
MANDATORY COMMENTS (HOUSING/VOTING)			
RATER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE		RATER SIGNATURE	
RATER DUTY TITLE	RATER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND		
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ASSESSMENT	STRATIFICATION	FORCED ENDORSEMENT	RATER ASSESSMENT
FUTURE ROLES			
1. 2. 3.			
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE		HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER SIGNATURE	
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER DUTY TITLE	HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND		

System Generated EPB, 20230307, V1

PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION: The information in this form is CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION. Protect IAW the Privacy Act of 1974.

Enlisted Performance Brief (AF Total Force – MSgt-SMSgt)

Policy Overview – Stratifications

A Stratification – there are two stratification options available for promotion eligible MSgts and SMSgts. Higher Level Reviewers can stratify up to 25% of promotion eligible members. When determining the quota, normal rounding rules apply (.49 rounds down to the whole number and .50 rounds up to the whole number)

- SMSgts** – Top 20% of SMSgts will receive a numerator and denominator stratification, e.g., #1 of 7 SMSgts, #4 of 24 SMSgts. An additional 5% of SMSgts will receive a stratification statement of “Top 25% of SMSgts”
- MSgts** – Top 10% of MSgts will receive a numerator/denominator stratification, e.g., #1 of 11 MSgts, #2 of 24 MSgts. An additional 15% of MSgts will receive a stratification statement of “Top 25% of MSgts”

SMSgt DOE, MATTHEW A.
(123456789)

SYSTEM GENERATED PAGE X / Y

ENLISTED PERFORMANCE BRIEF (E7-E8)

DUTY TITLE	DAFSC	REASON	PERIOD	THRU
	DAYS SUPERVISED	DAYS NON-RATED	RATEE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND		LOCATION		
DUTY DESCRIPTION				
RATER ASSESSMENT				
EXECUTING THE MISSION	EFFECTIVELY USES KNOWLEDGE, INITIATIVE, AND ADAPTABILITY TO PRODUCE TIMELY, HIGH QUALITY/QUANTITY RESULTS TO POSITIVELY IMPACT THE MISSION			
LEADING PEOPLE	FOSTERS COHESIVE TEAMS, EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATES, AND USES EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE TO TAKE CARE OF PEOPLE AND ACCOMPLISH THE MISSION			
MANAGING RESOURCES	MANAGES ASSIGNED RESOURCES EFFECTIVELY AND TAKES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACTIONS/BEHAVIORS TO MAXIMIZE ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE			
IMPROVING THE UNIT	DEMONSTRATES CRITICAL THINKING AND FOSTERS INNOVATION TO FIND CREATIVE SOLUTIONS AND IMPROVE MISSION EXECUTION			
MANDATORY COMMENTS (HOUSING/VOTING)				
RATER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE		RATER SIGNATURE		
RATER DUTY TITLE	RATER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND			
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ASSESSMENT	STRATIFICATION	FORCED ENDORSEMENT	RATER ASSESSMENT	
FUTURE ROLES 1. 2. 3.				
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER NAME, GRADE, AND BRANCH OF SERVICE		HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER SIGNATURE		
HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER DUTY TITLE		HIGHER LEVEL REVIEWER ORGANIZATION AND COMMAND		

System Generated EPB, 20230307, V1

PRIVACY ACT INFORMATION: The information in this form is CONTROLLED UNCLASSIFIED INFORMATION. Protect IAW the Privacy Act of 1974.

Enlisted Performance Brief (AF Total Force – MSgt-SMSgt)

Policy Overview – Feedback

Final Feedback – final feedback will be completed during the evaluation input but **will not show** on the evaluation Enlisted Performance Brief output. This is to close the feedback loop for the performance cycle and will be *viewable to only the Rater and Ratee* within myEval 2.0. During the feedback portion of the evaluation input, the Rater will select a proficiency level for each Airman Leadership Quality (ALQ) and an overall proficiency level for each Major Performance Area (MPA). There are 10 Airman Leadership Qualities that fall into the four Major Performance Areas (MPA).

myEval 2.0 On-Screen Final Feedback

Executing the Mission - Effectively uses knowledge, initiative, and adaptability to produce timely, high quality/quantity results to positively impact the mission.

MPA/ALQ Proficiency Selection (Feedback Only)

Select a proficiency level for each Airman Leadership Quality (ALQ).
Note: ALQ proficiency levels will not show on the evaluation output. **These are for feedback purposes only, visible to the Rater and Ratee, and close the feedback loop for the performance cycle.**

Job Proficiency
Demonstrates knowledge and professional skill in assigned duties, achieving positive results and impact in support of the mission.

Needs ImprovementDevelopingProficientHighly ProficientExceptionally Skilled

Initiative
Assesses and takes independent or directed action to complete a task or mission that influences the mission or organization.

Needs ImprovementDevelopingProficientHighly ProficientExceptionally Skilled

Adaptability
Adjusts to changing conditions, to include plans, information, processes, requirements, and obstacles in accomplishing the mission.

Needs ImprovementDevelopingProficientHighly ProficientExceptionally Skilled

Overall Executing the Mission Rating
Select the MPA rating that best describes the Ratee's overall performance in this MPA. Consider your expectations for the Ratee, all applicable foundations/occupation/etc. competencies and applicable ALQs when making this selection. Note: This rating is only visible to the Rater and Ratee and will not show on the evaluation output.

Needs ImprovementDevelopingProficientHighly ProficientExceptionally Skilled

V1, 13 Mar 23